

A Corpuscular Picture of Electrons in Chemical Bond

Koji Ando

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

(Dated: November 18, 2015)

We introduce a theory of chemical bond with a corpuscular picture of electrons. It employs a minimal set of localized electron wave packets with ‘floating and breathing’ degrees of freedom and the spin-coupling of non-orthogonal valence-bond theory. It accurately describes chemical bonds in ground and excited states of spin singlet and triplet, in a distinct manner from conventional theories, indicating potential for establishing a dynamical theory of electrons in chemical bonds.

Modern concept of chemical bond has been prevailed by the molecular orbital (MO) model [1, 2] that is based on one-electron orbitals with the mean-field approximation. Even the recently blooming density functional theory [3], whose genuine form should be independent of the MO concept, is practically based on the MO model in the Kohn-Sham scheme. The MO theory first solves a one-electron wave equation in the mean-field approximation, and assigns electrons to the resultant MOs. The MOs reflect the molecular symmetry and are thus delocalized over the molecule or molecular moieties. They accommodate nodal structures of orbital phases that play dominant roles in determining chemical reactivity, as unveiled by the Frontier Orbital Theory [4] and the Woodward-Hoffmann Rule [5]. Adequacy of the MO model has been endorsed by photoelectron [6, 7], electron scattering [8], and Penning ionization [9] spectroscopies, some of which even attempt to observe the MOs (more precisely the Dyson orbitals).

While the MO picture emphasizes the delocalized wave picture of one-electron orbitals, valence-bond (VB) model offers an alternative concept [10, 11]. The VB theory describes the electronic wave function as an antisymmetrized product of spatial and spin functions, the former consisting of a product of atomic orbitals (AOs). The ‘resonance structures’ such as covalent and ionic ones provide intuitive understanding of chemical bond formation, reactivity, environmental effects, and so forth. In the full configuration-interaction (CI) limit with the same AO basis, the fully spin-coupled VB and the MO-CI are equivalent. In many cases, the VB model provides a compact and intuitive description of chemical phenomena.

Both MO and VB calculations have been based on linear-combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) in which the AOs are clamped at the nuclear centers. By contrast, an alternative picture we present here is based on a ‘floating and breathing’ wave packet (WP) model of corpuscular electrons [12, 13]. The model not only offers a distinct picture of static chemical bond, but also has direct connection to the electron WP dynamics, an emerging arena with the recent advent of attosecond spectroscopic techniques [6, 7, 14–18].

There exist many previous works on the floating orbital model within the MO framework [19, 20]. Probably due to the historical prevalence of MO over VB, the orbital floating in the VB model has not been much explored, with a few exception to our knowledge on H₂ molecule that however concluded negatively on the importance of orbital floating [21, 22]. Here

we demonstrate the contrary for molecules with more electrons and atoms and for excited states.

We employ an ordinary form of antisymmetrized product of spatial (Φ) and spin (Θ) functions for N -electron wave functions

$$\Psi(1, \dots, N) = \mathcal{A}[\Phi(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_N)\Theta(1, \dots, N)], \quad (1)$$

in which \mathcal{A} is the antisymmetriser and \mathbf{q}_i represents the spatial coordinates of electrons. As usual, the spatial part assumes a product form of one-electron orbitals,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_N) = \phi_1(\mathbf{q}_1) \cdots \phi_N(\mathbf{q}_N). \quad (2)$$

In the conventional VB method, the orbitals $\phi_i(\mathbf{q}_i)$ are constructed from the LCAO. By contrast, we employ for $\phi_i(\mathbf{q}_i)$ ‘floating and breathing’ minimal WPs of a form

$$\phi(\mathbf{q}, t) = (2\pi\rho_t^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \exp[-\gamma_t|\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{r}_t|^2 + i\mathbf{p}_t \cdot (\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{r}_t)/\hbar], \quad (3)$$

in which \mathbf{r}_t and \mathbf{p}_t represent the WP center and its momentum, and $\gamma_t = 1/4\rho_t^2 - (i/2\hbar)\pi_t/\rho_t$ where ρ_t and π_t represent the WP width and its momentum [23]. These dynamical variables are determined from the time-dependent or independent variational principle [24, 25].

The spin part $\Theta(1, \dots, N)$ consists of the spin eigenfunctions [2]. We assume here a simple ‘perfect-pairing (PP)’ form,

$$\Theta = \theta(1, 2) \cdots \theta(N_p - 1, N_p) \alpha(N_p + 1) \cdots \alpha(N), \quad (4)$$

in which α and β are the one-electron spin functions and $\theta(i, j) = (\alpha(i)\beta(j) - \beta(i)\alpha(j))/\sqrt{2}$ is the singlet-pair function. The PP model has been chosen for simplicity and adequacy for the molecules studied here. We denote this model ‘WP-VBPP’ or simply ‘WP-VB’.

To calculate the potential energy surfaces in the ground state of a given total spin, the energy expectation $E = \langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle / \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle$ is minimized with respect to the center and width variables \mathbf{r} and ρ of Eq. (3), with the momentum variables \mathbf{p} and π nullified [26]. Figure 1 presents the resultant potential energy curves for the singlet $X^1\Sigma^+$ and the triplet $a^3\Sigma^+$ states of LiH. They are compared to the reference calculations with the state-of-the-art wave function approach of the multi-reference CI with single and double excitations (MR-CISD) with the cc-pVDZ basis set that consisted of 27 primitive AOs in total. (We used the program GAMESS [27] for




FIG. 1: Potential energy curves of singlet and triplet ground states, $X^1\Sigma^+$ and $a^3\Sigma^+$, of LiH. WP-VB denotes the present floating and breathing minimal electron wave packet model with valence-bond spin-coupling. MR-CISD denotes the state-of-the-art reference calculations from multi-reference configuration-interaction with single and double excitations, with the cc-pVDZ basis set consisting of 27 atomic orbitals. The absolute energies from WP-VB and MR-CISD calculations were shifted by +7.109 hartree and +7.932 hartree, respectively, to match the dissociation limits.

the standard MO-based calculations.) By contrast, the WP-VB calculation employed the ‘minimal’ basis, that is, only one WP per electron. The accurate energy curves were obtained by optimizing both the floating (r) and breathing (ρ) degrees of freedom, which accounted for the effects of polarization and so-called ‘dynamic’ correlation, respectively, while the VB spin-coupling accounted for the ‘static’ correlation.

The minimal WP model offers a simple corpuscular picture of electrons in chemical bonds. Figures 2(a) and (b) display the electron WPs in the $X^1\Sigma^+$ and $a^3\Sigma^+$ states by circles with radius of the WP width ρ . They correspond to the electrons of, in the ascending order of the radius size, two Li 1s, H 1s, and Li 2s. While the former three WPs center around the nuclear positions, the ‘Li 2s’ WP exhibits notable displacements. The pictures of the WPs correspond well with the conventional MOs in Fig. 2(c) and (d), two singly-occupied alpha-spin MOs of the $a^3\Sigma^+$ state, from the restricted open-shell Hartree-Fock calculation with the cc-pVDZ basis set. The HOMO and LUMO of the $X^1\Sigma^+$ state look similar to them. These MOs are described by the linear combination of 27 AOs with various size and higher angular momenta for polarization. The corresponding electron distributions are properly described by the floating and breathing minimal WPs in Fig. 2(a) and (b).

Next we examine electronic excited states. In the MO framework, the most standard is the CI method. Another common approach is the equation-of-motion or Green function method that gives excitation energies from poles of electron propagator [2]. In the conventional VB framework, excited states are computed with non-orthogonal CI among indepen-




FIG. 2: Electron wave packets compared with molecular orbitals. (a) $X^1\Sigma^+$ state. (b) $a^3\Sigma^+$ state. In (a) and (b), the radii of circles represent the width (ρ) of electron wave packets that correspond to Li 1s (red and green), H 1s (magenta), and Li 2s (blue) electrons. (c) and (d), two singly-occupied alpha-spin molecular orbitals of $a^3\Sigma^+$ state of LiH from restricted open-shell Hartree-Fock calculations with the cc-pVDZ basis set.

dent spin-coupling configurations [10]. Although this VB-CI method would be applicable with the present WP-VB scheme, we take an alternative route based on the idea of the propagator theory, since we have the electron WP dynamics in perspective.

A complete method to construct the electron propagator in the present WP framework is via the coherent-state path-integral formulation [24, 28]. It is implemented in the initial-value-representation with the steepest-descent evaluation and Monte Carlo integration of the path-integral [25, 29]. However, here we take a simplified route: we construct potential energy surfaces for the electron WP motion, and solve numerically the time-independent Schrödinger equation to obtain electronic excitation energies. This reduces to one or two dimensional calculations for the case of LiH as follows. From a preliminary normal-mode analysis, we found that the motion of a particular electron WP, that corresponds to the Li 2s electron, dominates the lowest energy excitations. We thus shifted the center of that WP along the molecular axis to construct a potential for that electron motion. Numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation in this potential gives the excitation energies of the Σ states. Similarly, the WP center was shifted perpendicular to the molecular axis for the Π states.

Figure 3(a) presents the resultant potential energy curves for the excited $A^1\Sigma^+$, $B^1\Pi$, $b^3\Pi$, and $c^3\Sigma^+$ states of LiH. Despite the simplicity of calculation, the potential curves in Fig. 3(a) exhibit semi-quantitative accuracy in comparison with the MR-CISD reference displayed in Fig. 3(b). For the $A^1\Sigma^+$ state, we have double-checked the results by constructing a two-dimensional potential energy surface for the motions of two electron WPs corresponding to the H 1s and the Li 2s, because their overlap is apparent in Fig. 2(a) for the $X^1\Sigma^+$




FIG. 3: Potential energy curves of $A^1\Sigma^+$, $B^1\Pi$, $b^3\Pi$, $c^1\Sigma^+$ excited states of LiH. (a) the present electron wave packet calculation. (b) multi-reference configuration-interaction with single and double excitations with the cc-pVDZ basis set. The shifts of absolute energies are identical to those indicated in the caption of Fig. 1.

state. However, this additional calculation did not affect notably the results in Fig. 3(a). We have confirmed the reason that these degrees of freedom are well separated in terms of both the shape and curvature of the potential surface, as the H 1s WP is much more tightly bound around the nucleus than the Li 2s WP.

It might appear that the success of the spherical WP-VB was just because only 1s and 2s AOs are involved in LiH. However, we demonstrate in Fig. 4 that the potential energy curve for a polyatomic molecule, NH_3 , is accurately described. The conventional description of this molecule involves nitrogen 2p AOs for the NH bonds and lone-pair electrons. Figure 4(b) shows that these are properly described by the spherical WPs. The key is, as noted for Fig. 2, that the floating WPs account for the polarization of electronic wave function, for which the conventional MO methods require AOs of higher angular momenta as the AOs are clamped at the nuclear centers. Their nodal structures are not essential for generally nodeless *total* electronic wave functions in the ground state. As demonstrated in Fig. 3, the excited states can




FIG. 4: Potential energy curves and electron wave packets for NH_3 . (a) potential energy curves along the N-H symmetric stretch coordinate of NH_3 , comparing the present model of electron wave packets with valence-bond coupling (WP-VB), complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF), and multi-reference second-order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory (MPMP2), the latter two with the cc-pVDZ basis set. (b) electron wave packets for NH_3 with the radius of circles representing the wave packet widths (ρ).

be studied with the electron WP dynamics.

Now the major bottleneck for applications to polyatomic molecules is not fundamental but mostly technical. In order to improve quantitative accuracy, the first task will be to employ more flexible WPs than the spherical ones. This will complicate the evaluation of two-electron integrals, but we have already applied ellipsoidal WPs to nuclear WP simulation of liquid water [30]. Another task would be extension to multi-configuration spin-couplings that will be needed for some chemical reactions [10]. Nonetheless, only a few spin-

coupling patterns will suffice in practice for essential picture, especially due to the flexibility of floating and breathing WPs. Even in such cases, with use of single electron WPs for the spatial part, the corpuscular picture of electrons will remain viable.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by KAKENHI No. 26248009 and 26620007.

-
- [1] A. Szabo and N. S. Ostlund, *Modern Quantum Chemistry* (Dover, New York, 1996).
 - [2] R. McWeeny, *Methods of Molecular Quantum Mechanics* (Academic, London, 1992).
 - [3] R. G. Parr and W. Yang, *Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules* (Oxford University, Oxford, 1989).
 - [4] K. Fukui, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **4**, 57 (1971).
 - [5] R. B. Woodward and R. Hoffmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **11**, 781 (1969).
 - [6] J. Itatani, J. Levesque, D. Zeldler, H. Niikura, H. Pépin, J. C. Kleffer, P. B. Corkum, and D. M. Villeneuve, *Nature* **432**, 867 (2004).
 - [7] O. Smirnova, Y. Mairesse, S. Patchkovskii, N. Dudovich, D. Villeneuve, P. Corkum, and M. Y. Ivanov, *Nature* **460**, 972 (2009).
 - [8] M. Yamazaki, K. Oishi, H. Nakazawa, C. Zhu, and M. Takahashi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 103005 (2015).
 - [9] K. Ohno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **77**, 887 (2004).
 - [10] S. S. Shaik and P. C. Hiberty, *A Chemist's Guide to Valence Bond Theory* (Wiley, New Jersey, 2008).
 - [11] L. Pauling, *The Nature of the Chemical Bond* (Cornell University Press, New York, 1960).
 - [12] K. Ando, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **82**, 975 (2009).
 - [13] K. Ando, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **523**, 134 (2012).
 - [14] M. F. Kling and M. J. J. Vrakking, *Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **59**, 463 (2008).
 - [15] F. Krausz and M. Ivanov, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 163 (2009).
 - [16] T. Kato, T. Oyamada, H. Kono, and S. Koseki, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **196**, 16 (2012).
 - [17] M. Vacher, D. Mendive-Tapia, M. J. Bearpark, and M. A. Robb, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **133**, 1505 (2014).
 - [18] M. J. T. Oliveira, B. Mignolet, T. Kus, T. A. Papadopoulos, F. Remacle, and M. J. Verstraete, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **11**, 2221 (2015).
 - [19] A. A. Frost, *J. Chem. Phys.* **47**, 3707 (1967).
 - [20] H. Nakatsuji, S. Kanayama, S. Harada, and T. Yonezawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **100**, 7528 (1978).
 - [21] H. Shull and D. D. Ebbing, *J. Chem. Phys.* **28**, 866 (1958).
 - [22] C. M. Reeves, *J. Chem. Phys.* **39**, 1 (1963).
 - [23] F. Arickx, J. Broeckhove, E. Kesteloot, L. Lathouwers, and P. van Leuven, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **128**, 310 (1986).
 - [24] H. Kuratsuji, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **65**, 224 (1981).
 - [25] K. Ando, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **591**, 179 (2014).
 - [26] Y. Tsue, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **88**, 911 (1992).
 - [27] M. W. Schmidt, K. K. Baldridge, J. A. Boatz, S. T. Elbert, M. S. Gordon, J. H. Jensen, S. Koseki, N. Matsunaga, K. A. Nguyen, S. J. Su, et al., *J. Comp. Chem.* **14**, 1347 (1993).
 - [28] J. R. Klauder and B. S. Skagerstam, eds., *Coherent States: Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985).
 - [29] K. G. Kay, *Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **56**, 255 (2005).
 - [30] J. Ono and K. Ando, *J. Chem. Phys.* **137**, 174503 (2012).